

#### Annex C

EIA Title	Proposal to open a specialist centre at The Orchard School				
Did you use the EIA Screening Tool? (Please tick or specify)	Yes (Please attach upon submission)	Yes	No		

## 1. Explaining the matter being assessed

What policy, function or service change are you assessing?	The Orchard Infant School is a three form entry infant school in the borough of Elmbridge in Surrey. The school has an 'Outstanding' Ofsted rating. The Orchard has currently been supporting 2 pupils in each year group in a centre environment and this consultation is for the school to have a permanent specialist centre to continue to meet the needs of pupils with Speech and Language Communication Needs (SLCN) in the local area.  It is proposed that The Orchard Infant School hosts a specialist Special Education Needs and Disability (SEND) centre for 6 pupils. All pupils admitted to the centre would have an EHCP specifying the school as an appropriate placement to meet their individual needs. It is anticipated that the centre would be organised with 2 places per year group, although this number is not fixed and on occasions some year groups may have more or less than 2 pupils.		
Why does this EIA need to be completed?	The EIA is being completed to assess the impact of the proposal to open a new specialist centre at The Orchard Infant School.		
Who is affected by the proposals outlined above?	<ul> <li>The proposal will impact:</li> <li>pupils currently attending The Orchard School</li> <li>Staff at The Orchard School</li> <li>Parents and families of children with SLCN education needs, currently attending The Orchard School or likely to attend The Orchard School in future.</li> </ul>		
How does your service proposal support the outcomes in the Community Vision for Surrey 2030?	<ul> <li>Children and young people are safe and feel safe and confident</li> <li>Everyone benefits from education skills and employment opportunities that help them succeed I life</li> </ul>		



	Communities are welcoming and supportive, especially of those most in need, and people feel able to contribute to community life.				
	County Wide Runnymede				
Are there any specific	Elmbridge	Х	Spelthorne		
geographies in Surrey where	Epsom and Ewell		Surrey Heath		
this will make an impact?	Guildford		Tandridge		
(Please tick or specify)	Mole Valley		Waverley		
	Reigate and Banstead		Woking		
	Not Applicable				
	County Divisions (please s	specify	/ if appropriate):		
	A consultation started on the 2 <sup>nd</sup> November 2020 and finished on the 14 <sup>th</sup> December 2020. A public virtual consultation meeting was held on the 18 <sup>th</sup> November 2020.  A survey was published on the Surrey County Council consultation website 'Surrey Says' between 2 <sup>nd</sup> November 2020 and 14 <sup>th</sup> December 2020. A total of 10 responses were received and analysed. Of the 10 responses, 100% agreed with the proposal, 0% disagreed with the proposal; and 0% did not know or offer a position.				
Briefly list what evidence you have gathered on the	Consultation packs detailing the proposal were distributed to all staff at The Orchard School. Parents of pupils could have obtained a copy of the consultation from the school or online.				
impact of your proposals?	Statutory notices were published and ran for a period of 4 weeks. These were posted on the school gate, published in the local press, and on the 'Surrey Says' website.				
	There was 1 response to the statutory notice: 1 response agreed with the proposal.				
	A Consultation Analysis has been published as part of the report to the Lead Cabinet Member for All-Age Learning Meeting on 9 March.				
	<ul> <li>The following data has a</li> <li>School census red</li> <li>Office for National <a href="https://www.neighlmination/">https://www.neighlmination/</a></li> <li>English indices of</li> </ul>	ords Statis oourh	October 2020 stics ood.statistics.gov.uk/disse		

## Equality Impact Assessment

### 2. Service Users / Residents

There are 10 protected characteristics have been considered and two highlighted in green where impacts have been identified These are:

- 1. Age including younger and older people
- 2. Disability
- 3. Gender reassignment
- 4. Pregnancy and maternity
- 5. Race including ethnic or national origins, colour or nationality
- 6. Religion or belief including lack of belief
- 7. Sex
- 8. Sexual orientation
- 9. Marriage/civil partnerships
- 10. Carers protected by association

Though not included in the Equality Act 2010, Surrey County Council recognises that socio-economic disadvantage is a significant contributor to inequality across the County and therefore regards this as an additional factor.



#### **DISABILITY**

What information (data) do you have on affected service users/residents with this characteristic?

All pupils who will be attending the Specialist Centre at The Orchard School have Speech Language and Communication Needs. Some pupils may also have an additional disability.

Impacts (Please tick or specify)	Positive	Ne		Negative		Both	X
Impacts identi	fied	Supporting evidence		How will you maximise positive/minimise negative impacts?		When will this be	()Wnor
Additional pupil access a local sapproved.	s will be able to SLCN centre if	The next nearest SLCN provision is over 5 miles away (Stepgates Primary School) from The Orchard Infant School and therefore pupils with SLCN will not need to travel so far for appropriate school provision.				September 2021	Surrey County Council and the school

What other changes is the council planning/already in place that may affect the same groups of residents? Are there any dependencies decisions makers need to be aware of

The development of the proposed Specialist centre is part of a suite of proposals being developed by Surrey County Council to deliver a step change in the number of places for learners who have complex SEND in local Special Schools and Specialist Centres.

SEND Capital programme 29 Sept 20



SEND Capital Programme Phase 3 2021- Cabinet Report.pdf (surreycc.gov.uk)

Any negative impacts that cannot be mitigated? Please identify impact and explain why

#### Age

What information (data) do you have on affected service users/residents with this characteristic?

The Orchard School is an Infant School for learners in Year R to Year 2.

Impacts (Please tick or specify)	Positive	x	N	egative		Both	
Impacts identi	fied	Supporting evid	How will you maximise positive/minimise negative impacts?		When will this be implemented by?	Owner	
to Year 2 and the atransition point Negative impact who would beneated a same support in have to change access that supposess.	n year 3 would provision to port and may ent outside of the			negative in tand assess offered throulearners time to ensure a to either mais schools or s  Positive: The for pupils to	o minimise the ransition, support nent would be ughout the e at The Orchard smooth transition instream local pecialist centres.  ere is opportunity move to local schools in year 3	September 2021	Surrey County Council and The Orchard School

# Equality Impact Assessment

Positive Impacts are that the model offered in Year R to Year 2 allows time for progression and assessment.	with continued Speech and Language Support supporting inclusion with peers in mainstream.		
	There are opportunities for transitioning at year 3 to other specialist centres in local mainstream schools.  Additional High COIN places are proposed in year 3 at Chandlers Field Primary to support if a pupils needs are identified as needing an ASD provision in year 3. There is also a transition point to local LAN (Learning and Additional Needs) Specialist Centres in the local area.		
Reduce impacts for pupils in Year R whether they are place in this Infant provision or a primary provision	Ensure that children placed at the SLCN centre would benefit from a placement in the infant years.  Prepare transition to support pupils into mainstream in year 3 or appropriate specialist placement	Ongoing	The Orchard School SEN Admissions Team and SEN Area Teams at Surrey County Council

What other changes is the council planning/already in place that may affect the same groups of residents? Are there any dependencies decisions makers need to be aware of

Additional Year 3 High COIN places are proposed at Chandlers Field Primary School and Meadhurst Primary School. Those proposals are subject to separate consultation and form part a range of proposals under The SEND Capital Programme.



Any negative impacts that cannot be mitigated? Please identify impact and explain why
The school does not have a junior department so it is not possible for pupils to continue at the same school into Year 3

#### **SOCIO-ECONOMIC FACTORS**

What information (data) do you have on affected service users/residents with this characteristic?

Index of Multiple Deprivation (2019) – Parts of Molesey East (Elmbridge 002A) are in the least 10% least deprived areas in the country meaning 90% of places in the country have higher levels of deprivation. However the school serves local areas with pockets of deprivation (Elmbridge 004B in Walton South is the most deprived 20-30% meaning it is an area more deprived than 70% of the country and Elmbridge 003D in Molesey West is in the most deprived 30 – 40% of the country) and the specialist centre will support pupils from a wider area than the primary school.

Impacts (Please tick or specify)	Positive	x	Negative			Both	
Impacts identi	fied	Supporting evidence		How will you maximise positive/minimise negative impacts?		When will this be implemented by	()Wnor
More families w access a local p SLCN pupils if a	provision for	The next nearest SLCN provision is 5 miles away (Stepgates and Meadhurst Primary Schools) from The Orchard Infant School and therefore pupils with needs will not need to travel so far for appropriate school provision.				September 2021	Surrey County Council and the school



Potential less travel time for families as they will be able to access a local SLCN provision.	The next nearest SLCN provision is 5 miles away (Stepgates and Meadhurst Primary Schools) from The Orchard Infant School and therefore pupils with needs will not need to travel so far for appropriate school provision.  Children and young people with SEND may be eligible for travel assistance as described in the Home to School/College Travel  Transport Policy	Ensure that children placed at the SLCN centre are local to the provision  "An entitlement to home to school transport exists where the following criteria is satisfed: A child with an EHCP attends their nearest suitable school* and qualifies for home to school transport under the statutory walking distances (section 3.2) outlined previously within this policy." (Home to School Travel Transport Policy)	Ongoing	SEN Admissions Team at Surrey County Council
What other changes is the council planning/already in place that may affect the same groups of residents?  Are there any dependencies decisions makers need to be aware of  Any negative impacts that cannot be mitigated? Please identify impact and explain why				



## 3. Staff

### NO IMPACTS IDENTIFIED

What information do you have on the affected staff with this characteristic?

Any direct changes for staff are not part of this proposal and would be addressed by The Orchard School

Impacts	Positive		Negative		Both	
Impacts identi	fied	Supporting evidence	_	u maximise nimise negative	When will this be implemented by?	Owner
part of this propo	ges for staff are not osal and would be ne Orchard School	The Orchard School are responsible for staffing ar planning in the centre and school	and confider in working w Speech Lan Communica provide for o mainstream as the centre Children and the learning working with complex nee	entre at The already e repertoire, skill nee of all the staff ith pupils with guage and tion Needs to hildren within the provision as well e environment. I staff benefit from arising from children with eds as well as	September 2021	The Governing Body of The Orchard School

<b>Equality Impa</b>	act
<b>Assessment</b>	

		and Assistants. The Orchard Staff are highly committed and are supported by a culture, and well -resourced learning environment designed to welcome and support a diverse community.		
What other changes is the council planning that may affect the same groups of staff? Are there any dependencies decisions makers need to be aware of				
Any negative impacts that cannot be mitigated? Please identify impact and explain why				

You will need to repeat the box below (copy and paste) for each of the protected characteristics likely to be impacted.



## 4. Amendments to the proposals

CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE
No amendments have been made to the	
proposals	

#### 5. Recommendation

Based your assessment, please indicate which course of action you are recommending to decision makers. You should explain your recommendation in the in the blank box below.

Outcome Number	Description	Tick	
Outcome One	No major change to the policy/service/function required. This EIA has not identified any potential for discrimination or negative impact, and all opportunities to promote equality have been undertaken		
Outcome Two	Adjust the policy/service/function to remove barriers identified by the EIA or better advance equality. Are you satisfied that the proposed adjustments will remove the barriers you identified?		
Outcome Three	Continue the policy/service/function despite potential for negative impact or missed opportunities to advance equality identified. You will need to make sure the EIA clearly sets out the justifications for continuing with it. You need to consider whether there are:  • Sufficient plans to stop or minimise the negative impact • Mitigating actions for any remaining negative impacts plans to monitor the actual impact.		
Outcome Four	Stop and rethink the policy when the EIA shows actual or potential unlawful discrimination (For guidance on what is unlawful discrimination, refer to the Equality and Human Rights Commission's guidance and Codes of Practice on the Equality Act concerning employment, goods and services and equal pay, available <a href="here">here</a> ).		
Please use the box on the right to explain the rationale for your recommendation			





### 6a. Version Control

Version Number	Purpose/Change	Author	Date
1.2	New draft	Jackie Drysdale	14.11.2020
1.2	Statutory notice responses	Jane Keenan	10.02.2021

The above provides historical data about each update made to the Equality Impact Assessment. Please do include the name of the author, date and notes about changes made – so that you are able to refer back to what changes have been made throughout this iterative process. For further information, please see the EIA Guidance document on version control.

## 6b. Approval

	Name	Date approved
Approved by*	Head of Service	
	Executive Director	
	Cabinet Member	
	Directorate Equality Group	

EIA Author	Jane Keenan
------------	-------------

<sup>\*</sup>Secure approval from the appropriate level of management based on nature of issue and scale of change being assessed.

#### 6c. EIA Team

Name	Job Title	Organisation	Team Role
Jackie Drysdale	Commissioning Assistant	Surrey County Council	Author
Jane Keenan	Commissioning Manager	Surrey County Council	Manager
Carol Rusby	Headteacher	The Orchard School	Consulted/Contributor

If you would like this information in large print, Braille, on CD or in another language please contact us on:

Tel: 03456 009 009

Textphone (via Text Relay): 18001 03456 009 009

SMS: 07860 053 465

Email: contact.centre@surreycc.gov.uk